Joe Lombardo Governor

STATE OF NEVADA

Melissa Mackedon *Executive Director*



STATE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORITY

PO Box 19983 Carson City, Nevada 89721-9983 (775) 687-9174 · Fax (775) 687-9113 500 East Warm Springs Rd., Suite 116 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-4344 (702) 486-8895 · Fax (702) 486-5543

MEMORANDUM

TO:	SPCSA Board
FROM:	Katie Broughton, Director of Authorizing
	Michael Gawthrop-Hutchins, Management Analyst III
SUBJECT:	Financial Performance Framework Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2024:
	pilotED Cactus Park Elementary School
DATE:	March 7, 2025

Background

All SPCSA charter schools are evaluated on the SPCSA Financial Performance Framework (FPF). All public charter schools are required to undergo an annual financial audit conducted by an independent third-party, as outlined in <u>NAC 387.775</u>. The results of the annual audits are then analyzed against the SPCSA Financial Performance Framework.

The SPCSA is responsible for ensuring that sponsored schools are financially stable and meet the SPCSA board-approved financial performance standards, adopted pursuant to <u>NRS 388A.273</u>. These standards are designed to ensure schools maintain financial stability, safeguarding their ability to operate effectively and serve students both now and in the future.

The SPCSA Financial Performance Framework includes eight indicators, four aimed at assessing the near-term health of a school and four aimed at assessing the long-term sustainability and viability of a school. For each indicator, schools receive one of three ratings: Meets Standard (MS), Does Not Meet the Standard (DNMS), or Falls Far Below the Standard (FFBS).

As stated in the SPCSA <u>Financial Performance Framework Technical Guide</u>, poor financial performance measure ratings may result in intervention by the SPCSA. A school with financial framework profile results that include at least one indicator rated Falls Far Below Standard and/or at least three indicators rated at Does Not Meet Standard is generally considered out of compliance with the Financial Performance Framework. The indicators are as follows.

	Meets Standard	Does Not Meet	Falls Far Below								
			Standard								
Standard Standard Near Term Indicators Standard											
Current Ratio	Greater than or equal	Between 0.90 and 1.0 Less than 0.9									
	to 1.1										
Unrestricted Days	Y1 Schools: 15+ days	Between 15-30 days	Less than 15 days								
Cash-On-Hand	Y2 Schools: 30+ days										
	All Others: 60+ days										
	OR between 30 and	OR between 30 and									
	60 days with a one-	60 days with a one-									
	year positive trend.	year negative trend.									
Enrollment	Y1 Schools: 90%+	Between 85% and	Less than 85%								
Variance	Y2 Schools: 92.5%+	95%									
	All Others: 95%+										
Debt or Lease	School is not in	N/A	School is in default								
Default	default of any loans		of a loan or lease								
	or lease payments.		payment.								
		ty Indicators									
Total Margin and	Current Year and	Current Year OR	Current Year AND								
Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-								
Year Total Margin	Year Margine are	Year is negative.	Year are negative.								
	positive.										
Debt to Asset Ratio	Less than 0.9	Between 0.90 and 1.0	Greater than 1.0								
Cash Flow	Multi-year cash flow	Muli-year cash flow	Both multi-year cash								
	and most recent year	OR most recent year	flow and most recent								
	cash flow are	cash flow are	year cash flow are								
	positive.	negative.	negative.								
Debt/Lease Service	Ratio is greater than	Ratio is less than 1.10.	N/A								
Coverage Ratio	or equal to 1.10 or										
	school does not have										
	outstanding loan or										
	long-term lease.										

Any school that materially fails to comply with the Financial Performance Framework may trigger revocation proceedings should financial performance not improve. Any school identified as such is typically issued a Notice to ensure the school and its governing board are aware of the material failure to comply with the Financial Performance Framework in alignment with <u>NRS</u> <u>388A.330</u>. As a reminder, the Authority has three levels of intervention when schools do not meet organizational standards. These levels are as follows:

- Notice of Concern
- Notice of Breach
- Notice of Intent to Revoke

pilotED Cactus Park Elementary

	Current Ratio	UDCOH	Enrollment Variance	Debt Default	Total Margin	Debt to Asset Ratio	Cash Flow	Debt/Lease Coverage Ratio
Metric	≥1.1	30+ days	92.5%+	None	Both positive	Less than 0.9	Both Positive	≥1.1
FY24	0.98	32.5 days	99%	None	Current Year: -19.1% 3-Year: N/A	1.104	Multi: N/A 1-year: Pos	0.95
	DNMS	MS	MS	MS	DNMS	FFBS	MS	DNMS

pilotED Cactus Park Elementary earned one "Falls Far Below Standard" rating and three "Does Not Meet Standard" ratings for Fiscal Year 2024. The school was issued a Notice of Concern for FY23, and the ratings for FY24 make the school eligible for a Notice of Breach. There is some important information to note regarding these ratings:

- The Current Ratio improved from 0.42 in FY23 to 0.98 in FY24, which indicates the school's current liabilities are greater than their current assets.
- Unrestricted Days Cash on Hand increased from 8.5 days in FY23 to 32.5 days in FY24.
- Enrollment Variance improved from 32.5% in FY23 to 99% in FY24.
- The Current Year Margin calculation improved from -60.4% in FY23 to -19.1% in FY24, indicating the school is still experiencing a significant loss relative to its revenue.
- The Debt to Asset Ratio worsened from 1.066 in FY23 to 1.104 in FY24, which indicates the school's debts are greater than their assets. The school borrowed \$1.8 million from Charter Asset Management, which increased their total debt. This loan included factoring various receivables from Pupil Centered Funding revenue in order to meet current cash flow needs.
- The One Year Cash Flow increased by \$157,068 from FY23 to FY24.
- The Debt/Lease Coverage Ratio decreased from -0.93 in FY23 to 0.95 in FY24, which indicates the revenue of the school is almost sufficient to cover its debt and lease obligations.

Overall, most of the financial indicators improved from FY23 to FY24. However, the school did need to factor their receivables to fund operations, which is of concern to SPCSA staff. Additionally, the school had a negative end fund balance at the close of FY24.

SPCSA staff asked the school to provide additional documentation, including an explanation of the school's negative fund balance, short-term and long-term debt, lease agreement, and 3-year financial forecast. Those documents were reviewed as part of this analysis. The projected budget includes a projected enrollment increase of 30% from FY25 to FY26, which is significantly greater than the 17% enrollment growth they experienced from FY24 to FY25. The forecast provided does anticipate improved financial indicators in future years.

Ultimately, SPCSA staff is recommending the SPCSA board elevate the school to a financial Notice of Breach.

Proposed Motion: Issue a Notice of Breach under the Financial Performance Framework to pilotED Cactus Park Elementary School.