Joe Lombardo Governor

STATE OF NEVADA

Melissa Mackedon *Executive Director*



STATE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORITY

PO Box 19983 Carson City, Nevada 89721-9983 (775) 687-9174 · Fax (775) 687-9113 500 East Warm Springs Rd., Suite 116 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-4344 (702) 486-8895 · Fax (702) 486-5543

MEMORANDUM

TO:	SPCSA Board					
FROM:	Katie Broughton, Director of Authorizing					
	Michael Gawthrop-Hutchins, Management Analyst III					
SUBJECT:	Financial Performance Framework Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2024:					
	Battle Born Academy					
DATE:	March 7, 2025					

Background

All SPCSA charter schools are evaluated on the SPCSA Financial Performance Framework (FPF). All public charter schools are required to undergo an annual financial audit conducted by an independent third-party, as outlined in <u>NAC 387.775</u>. The results of the annual audits are then analyzed against the SPCSA Financial Performance Framework.

The SPCSA is responsible for ensuring that sponsored schools are financially stable and meet the SPCSA board-approved financial performance standards, adopted pursuant to <u>NRS 388A.273</u>. These standards are designed to ensure schools maintain financial stability, safeguarding their ability to operate effectively and serve students both now and in the future.

The SPCSA Financial Performance Framework includes eight indicators, four aimed at assessing the near-term health of a school and four aimed at assessing the long-term sustainability and viability of a school. For each indicator, schools receive one of three ratings: Meets Standard (MS), Does Not Meet the Standard (DNMS), or Falls Far Below the Standard (FFBS).

As stated in the SPCSA <u>Financial Performance Framework Technical Guide</u>, poor financial performance measure ratings may result in intervention by the SPCSA. A school with financial framework profile results that include at least one indicator rated Falls Far Below Standard and/or at least three indicators rated at Does Not Meet Standard is generally considered out of compliance with the Financial Performance Framework. The indicators are as follows.

	Meets Standard	Does Not Meet	Falls Far Below									
		Standard	Standard									
Near Term Indicators												
Current Ratio	Greater than or equal	Between 0.90 and 1.0	Less than 0.9									
	to 1.1											
Unrestricted Days	Y1 Schools: 15+ days	Between 15-30 days	Less than 15 days									
Cash-On-Hand	Y2 Schools: 30+ days											
	All Others: 60+ days											
	OR between 30 and	OR between 30 and 60										
	60 days with a one-	days with a one-year										
	year positive trend.	negative trend.										
Enrollment	Y1 Schools: 90%+	Between 85% and	Less than 85%									
Variance	Y2 Schools: 92.5%+	95%										
	All Others: 95%+											
Debt or Lease	School is not in	N/A	School is in default									
Default	default of any loans		of a loan or lease									
	or lease payments.		payment.									
	Sustainabili	ty Indicators										
Total Margin and	Current Year and	Current Year OR	Current Year AND									
Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-	Aggregated Three-									
Year Total Margin	Year Margine are	Year is negative.	Year are negative.									
	positive.											
Debt to Asset Ratio	Less than 0.9	Between 0.90 and 1.0	Greater than 1.0									
Cash Flow	Multi-year cash flow	Muli-year cash flow	Both multi-year cash									
	and most recent year	OR most recent year	flow and most recent									
	cash flow are	cash flow are negative.	year cash flow are									
	positive.		negative.									
Debt/Lease Service	Ratio is greater than	Ratio is less than 1.10.	N/A									
Coverage Ratio	or equal to 1.10 or											
	school does not have											
	outstanding loan or											
	long-term lease.											

Any school that materially fails to comply with the Financial Performance Framework may trigger revocation proceedings should financial performance not improve. Any school identified as such is typically issued a Notice to ensure the school and its governing board are aware of the material failure to comply with the Financial Performance Framework in alignment with <u>NRS</u> <u>388A.330</u>. As a reminder, the Authority has three levels of intervention when schools do not meet organizational standards. These levels are as follows:

- Notice of Concern
- Notice of Breach
- Notice of Intent to Revoke

	Current Ratio	UDCOH	Enrollment Variance	Debt Default	Total Margin	Debt to Asset Ratio	(ash Hlow	Debt/Lease Coverage Ratio
Metric	≥1.1	30+ days	92.5%+	None	Both positive	Less than 0.9	Both Positive	≥1.1
FY24	0.97	22.2 days	102.7%	None	Current Year: -9.7% 3-Year: N/A	1.078	Multi: N/A 1-year: Neg	0.76
	DNMS	DNMS	MS	MS	DNMS	FFBS	DNMS	DNMS

Battle Born Academy

Battle Born Academy earned one "Falls Far Below Standard" ratings and five "Does Not Meet Standard" ratings for Fiscal Year 2024. The school was issued a Notice of Concern for FY23, and the ratings for FY24 make the school eligible for a Notice of Breach. There is some important information to note regarding these ratings:

- The Current Ratio decreased from 1.35 in FY23 to 0.97 in FY24, which indicates the school's current liabilities are greater than their current assets.
- Unrestricted Days Cash on Hand decreased from 43.7 days in FY23 to 22.2 days in FY24.
- The Current Year Margin calculation decreased from 1.8% in FY23 to -9.7% in FY24, indicating the school is experiencing a slight loss relative to its revenue.
- The Debt to Asset Ratio decreased from 1.241 in FY23 to 1.078 in FY24, which indicates the school's debts are slightly greater than their assets.
- The One Year Cash Flow decreased by \$17,122 from FY23 to FY24.
- The Debt/Lease Coverage Ratio worsened from 1.08 in FY23 to 0.76 in FY24, which indicates the revenue of the school is insufficient to cover its debt and lease obligations.

Overall, the school's finances appear to be improving. The end fund balance more than doubled from FY23 to FY24, and the school experienced strong enrollment growth. If these trends continue, the school is anticipated to see continuing improvements in their financial indicators.

SPCSA staff asked the school to provide additional documentation, including an explanation of the school's short-term and long-term debt, lease agreement, and 5-year financial forecast. Those documents were reviewed as part of this analysis. The projected budget forecast anticipates increasing, positive balances in the following years.

Ultimately, SPCSA staff is recommending the SPCSA board continue the financial Notice of Concern for the school.

Proposed Motion: Continue the Notice of Concern under the Financial Performance Framework for Battle Born Academy.