District:	
Date Submitted:	/
Date Reviewed:	/

Nevada English Mastery Council

REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION:

Nevada English Learner District Policy/Plan Submission and Review Form

LEA Board Approved English Learner Policy/Plan

December 2015

Per NRS 388.405(2)(a), 388.407 and 388.411(1)(2) the boards of trustees of each Nevada school district are charged with developing and implementing policy for the instruction of English Learners. The State Board has approved criteria recommended for these policies by the English Mastery Council (EMC). The EMC is directed to review districts' policies and to make recommendations annually to the State and local boards for improvement to these policies. The Superintendent of Public Instruction shall monitor each district's compliance with the criteria prescribed by the State Board.

Submission Information:		Timeline:
Due Date: March 1, 2016	2013 - June	SB 504 Enacted
Submit to: English Mastery Council c/o:	2014 - January	English Mastery Council (EMC) Convened
Susan Johnson	2014 - August	District Policy and Criteria Planning Subcommittee (EMC) Convened
Electronic Submission:	2014 - December	Policy Criteria Approved by EMC and by State Board of Education
susanjohnson@doe.nv.gov	2015 - April	Policy Focus Group Convened
Please submit all documents in MS WORD; NDE will create hyperlinks to facilitate EMC review.	2015 - May	Presentation to Nevada Association of State School Superintendents Presentation to District Title III/EL Directors Focus Group Review of Support Plan and Materials
Questions: Contact Jonathan Gibson	2015 - June	Support Materials Available for LEAs
<u>igibson@doe.nv.gov</u> (775-687-9257) or	2015 - December	LEA Policy Drafts may be submitted for preliminary review
Kulwadee Axtell kaxtell@doe.nv.gov (775-687-9256)	2016 – March 1	EL Policy/Plan Submissions Due to EMC – (Draft or Approved)

Please note: This submission requires districts to <u>identify the location</u> of required criteria as they are addressed in the Policy or Related Documents (see instructions on page 2). This is necessary so that the EMC can evaluate the submission efficiently and still operate in accordance with the regulations of Open Meeting Law.



Nevada English Learner District	Policy/Plan	Submission	and Review Fo	orm
---------------------------------	-------------	------------	---------------	-----

District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/

English Learner District Policy/Plan Submission Form English Mastery Council Review Form

Primary purposes for this form:

- 1. Districts submit their EL Policies and associated documents, and create an index of criterion location by document and page number.
- 2. The EMC determines if each district policy/plan meets expectations for the criteria as established by the State Board.
- 3. Districts run a self-evaluation of the EL Policy; it is intended that fidelity of implementation will satisfy state and federal requirements.
- 4. The NDE provides districts a cross reference for the "Desktop Monitoring" of each criterion through eNOTE.

Instructions for Districts:

Submit all documents related to the EL Policy Identify criterion location – document, page and reference Use Appendix A for more information (hyperlinks or hard copy)

Instructions for EMC:

Evaluate Policies for each criterion against the "Expectation" "Does Not Meet" status requires a comment
Use Appendix A for more information (hyperlinks or hard copy)

Part I: Po	Part I: Policy/Plan Development Criterion (The evidence for Part I may be provided in a separate assurance.)									
A. Pro	cess:	Primary Lo	ocation	Ancillary Lo	cation(s)	Mark if not	_	Resources		
	Criterion	Document	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)		
l <u>Click here</u>	The process for the development of this policy must include evaluation of relevant research and consultation with teachers, school administrators and parents.						b.1	[ESSA 3116(b)(4)(C)]		
	District completes Index at	ove; EMC	comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	w.				
	Expectation	Status Comments/Recommendations					ns			
rese	y development process includes evaluation of relevant arch and consultation with teachers, school administrators, parents.	☐ Meets ☐ Does No	ot Meet							



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

Part II: Minimum Component Criteria								
A. Visio	A. Vision/Purpose/Philosophy Statement:		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Resources	
	Criterion	Document	Document Page(s)		Document Page(s)		eNOTE	Citation(s)
II(a) Click here	Vision/Purpose/Philosophy Statement that describe the district's overarching approach to serving its English Learner (EL) students:						b.2	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2
II(a)(i) Click here	Statement addresses <i>alignment</i> and integration of EL services with district priorities, goals and plans						b.2	DIP SIP
II(a)(i) Click here	Statement addresses alignment and <u>integration</u> of EL services with district priorities, goals and plans						b.2	DIP SIP
II(a)(ii) Click here	Statement is consistent with state and federal regulations, policies and/or guidance						b.2	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2 Chapter 4
	District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.							
	Expectation	Stat	Status Comments/Recommendatio					
	ment describes the district's overarching approach to ng ELs.	☐ Meets ☐ Does No	ot Meet					
priori	ment addresses alignment of EL services with district ities, goals, and plans. (How do EL services correspond to district initiatives?)	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet						
priori	ment addresses integration of EL services with district ities, goals, and plans. (How are EL services implemented in unction with other district initiatives?)	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet						
polici ■ A	ment is consistent with state and federal regulations, es, and/or guidance. It the minimum, the statement must address ensuring quitable access for all ELs to educational opportunities.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet						



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

B. Stat	B. Statement of Desired Outcomes/Expectations:		Primary Location		Ancillary Lo	ocation(s)	Mark if not	ı	Resources
	Criterion		cument	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
II(b)(i) Click here	EL student attainment of proficiency in the English Language							b.3	NRS 388.407(1)
II(b)(ii) Click here	EL student academic achievement							b.3	NRS 388.407(1)
II(b) Click here	Desired Outcomes/Expectations							b.3	OCR/DOJ 1/7/15 Dear Colleague
	District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.								
	Expectation		Stati	us	Comments/Recommendations				
	ement must address EL student attainment of proficiency in English language.	☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet		ot Meet					
2. Stat	2. Statement must address EL student academic achievement.		☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet						
inst ■ F	 3. Statement may include expectations for teachers and instructional leaders. Recommended per "Dear Colleague Letter" OCR/DOJ 1/7/15 (pp. 14-15) 		Address Not Add						

C. Definitions of Terms and Acronyms:		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not	Resources	
Criterion		Document	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
II(c)(i) Click here	Consistent with Federal and State regulations, policies and/or guidance						b.4	
	District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.							
	Expectation	Status Comments/Recommendatio					nendation	ns
1. Definitions are consistent with state and federal regulations, policies, and/or guidance. If a glossary is provided, it may be in the associated documents or in the policy itself.		☐ Meets ☐ Does No	ot Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/

D. Associated Documents:		Primary L	Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Resources	
Criterion [Document	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
II(d) Click here	Corresponding (or Integrated) District EL Service Delivery Plan and/or Operational Guide						b.5	
	District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.							
	Status Comments/Recommendations					ıs		
If the LEA chooses to address Policy Content Criteria (part III) and/or operational procedures as associated documents to the LEA Board approved EL policy, they must correspond to and be identified in the policy.		☐ Meets ☐ Does No ☐ N/A	ot Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

Part III: Minimum Content Criteria									
A. Provide for identification of EL students:		Primary Location			Ancillary Lo		Mark if not		Resources
	Criterion	Do	cument	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(a) Click here	The District defined process to identify students for EL services must be consistent with state and federal regulations, policies, and/or guidance							С	NRS 388.407(2)(a) OELA Toolkit: Chapter 1
III(a)(i) Click here	Home Language Survey							c.1	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 1
III(a)(ii) Click here	Screening Instrument:							c.2	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 1
III(a)(iii) Click here	Exit/Reclassification from EL status [ESSA 3113(b)(2)]							c.3	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 8 ESSA 3113(b)(2)
	Districts complete Index al	pove	•	•	tes evalua				
	Expectation		Statu	IS		Comm	ents/Recomm	endations	3
mu: and	The District defined process to identify students for EL services must be consistent with state and federal regulations, policies, and/or guidance.		☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet						
Pi A Si C	me Language Survey (HLS): urpose and outcomes are defined; dministration process defines how, when and by whom the urvey is administered; and urvey questions are consistent with state guidance: hild's primary/first language; and Language(s)spoken at home; and Language(s)used with peers; or As part of the WIDA HLS pilot program.	☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet							
■ P	dening Instrument: urpose and outcomes are defined dministration process defines how, when and by whom the creener is administered; and //IDA Screening Tools: W-APT, MODELS.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet							
	/Reclassification from EL status: /IDA ACCESS results: 5.0 overall and 5.0 literacy		Meets Does No	t Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

B. Provide for the periodic reassessment of English proficiency and applicable content assessments of each EL qualified student:		Primary Location			Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not Addressed	Resources	
	Criterion	Docu	ument	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)		eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(b)(i) Click here	English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) as defined by federal or state law or regulation, or a policy adopted by the State Board of Education							d.1 d.2 d.3	NRS388.407(2) OELA Toolkit: Chapter 6
III(b)(ii)(1) Click here	Interim Proficiency Assessment/Evaluation: Formal (Interpret results of district/school tools relative to EL students' English proficiency levels)							d.4	NPEP: ELPA OELA Toolkit: Chapter 9
III(b)(ii)(2) Click here	Interim Proficiency Assessment/Evaluation: Informal (Evaluate EL students' Academic Language Acquisition progress)							d.4	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 9
III(b)(iii) Click here	Classroom assessment of ELs EL specific practices/accommodations Criteria to apply EL specific practices/accommodations							d.5	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2
	District completes Index abo	ove;	EMC	comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	w.		
	Expectation		Sta	tus	Comments/Recommendations				
• A a a E • 1 b	glish Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA): Il ELs enrolled during the testing window must be assessed nnually; Ls must be assessed with the WIDA ACCESS 2.0; % of students with most significant cognitive disabilities must e assessed with the ALT-ACCESS; and accommodations for students with disabilities must be pecified in each student's IEP.	Status							
SBA D re	mal Content Proficiency Assessment/Evaluation (i.e., MAPPs, AC, EOC, DRA): district process to interpret state/local assessment results elative to students' English proficiency levels must be defined; district process to identify and archive selected designated supports for EL students taking state assessments must be efined.		Meets Does	s Not Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

	Status	Comments/Recommendations
Informal Interim Proficiency Assessment/Evaluation (i.e., classroom observations, interviews): District process to evaluate EL student's academic language acquisition progress must be defined.	☐ Meets	t
Classroom assessment of ELs: District must identify EL specific practices/accommodations differentiated for students' English proficiency-content expectations should be rigorous and at student's grade level); and Identify criteria for EL specific practices/accommodations (i.e., which students receive specific services and/or accommodations).	oficiency-content https://doi.org/"> https:/	t



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

C. Design services to eliminate achievement gaps and ensure equitable access to educational programs including:									
Section C	-I: Use of Data	Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not		Resources	
	Criterion	Doc	ument	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(c)(i) Click here	Use of data to inform program design, resource allocation and instructional practice							e.01	NRS 388.407(2)(c) Castañeda vs. Pickard
III(c)(i)(2) Click here	Program participation:							e.04 e.05	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 5 OELA Toolkit: Chapter 6
	District completes Index ab	ove	; EMC	comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	ow.		
	Expectation		Sta	tus		Comm	ents/Recomn	nendatio	ns
 District uses federal/state/local accountability data to inform: Program design Resource allocation Instructional practice 			Meets Does	s Not Meet					
 District uses program participation data to: Ensure EL access to Gifted and Talented, AP, and Honors programs:			Meets Does	s Not Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

Section C-II: Leadership and Staffing (eliminate achievement gaps and ensure equitable access)		Primary Location			Ancillary Lo	ocation(s)	Mark if not Addressed	Resources		
	Criterion	Do	Document Page(s)		Document	Page(s)	Audresseu	eNOTE	Citation(s)	
III(c)(ii) Click here	Leadership and Staffing: infrastructure, roles and collaboration (Part I - Staffing)							e.06	ESSA 3116(b) OELA Toolkit: Chapter 3	
III(c)(ii) Click here	Leadership and Staffing: infrastructure, roles and collaboration (Part II – Personnel Roles)							e.06	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2 OELA Toolkit: Chapter 3	
III(c)(ii) Click here	Leadership and Staffing: infrastructure, roles and collaboration (Part III – Collaboration Process)							b.5 e.06	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 5	
District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.										
	Expectation	Status			Comments/Recommendations					
dem • E • E	ure sufficient staff/personnel infrastructure based on EL nographics: L program administration; L endorsed staff; L trained staff (certified and classified).	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet								
ATEEC	ne personnel roles for EL services: administrators; est coordinators; L certified staff; L classified staff; content teachers; Others (i.e., counselors, reading specialists etc.).	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet								
• E	ne process for collaboration between: L and other programs (i.e., Title I, School Improvement, etc.).	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet								

Nevada Department of Education EL Policy Plan Submission Form Draft 12/9/15 District Index EMC Inventory/Evaluation



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

	Section C-III: Curriculum Research based selection of curricula that consider language development needs of ELs:		imary Lo	cation	Ancillary Lo	ocation(s)	Mark if not	Resources		
Consider	Criterion	Doc	ument	Page(s)	Document Page(s)		Addressed	eNOTE	eNOTE Citation(s)	
III(c)(iii)- (1) Click here	ELD Curriculum: High quality, rigorous instructional materials and practices that align with: The Nevada Academic Content Standards; and District identified program Model(s) of Instruction/Instructional Delivery Method(s)			V , ,		5 ()		e.07 e.08	Framework: Council Great City Schools OELA Toolkit: Chapter 4	
III(c)(iii)- (2) Click here	Content Curriculum: High quality, rigorous instructional materials and practices that provide ELs concurrent expectations for and access to: Academic English Development; and Grade-level Content Instruction.							e.09	Framework - CGCS OELA Toolkit: Chapter 4	
III(c)(iii)- (3) Click here	Integrate cultural relevance, the building of background knowledge, and the acquisition of academic language into all content area and language development curricula.							e.10	Framework- OELA Toolkit: Chapter 4	
	District completes Index al	; EMC	comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	w.				
	Expectation	Status			Comments/Recommendations					
ensu and • T • T	ish Language Development (ELD) curriculum: Define process to bre high quality research based rigorous instructional materials practices that align with: he Nevada Academic Content Standards; he Nevada English Language Development Standards (WIDA-ELD); and he LEA's identified program Model(s) of EL Instruction.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet								
2. Cont base conc	tent Curriculum: Define process to ensure high quality research of rigorous instructional materials and practices that provide ELs current expectations for and access to: academic English Development; and acress to: arade level content instruction.	☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet								
to ir	ne process to ensure that all district curricula are designed integrate: Cultural relevance; Building of background knowledge; Acquisition of academic language.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet								



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

Section C-IV: Professional Development/Learning (eliminate achievement gaps and ensure equitable access):		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not Addressed	Resources		
	Criterion		ument	Page(s)	Document	Page(s)			Citation(s)
III(c)(iv) Click here	Professional Development/Learning (Part I – All Districts)							e.11	ESSA 3115(c)(2)
III(c)(iv)	Professional Development/Learning (Part II – Title III)							e.04 e.05	ESSA 3115(c)(2)
	District completes Index abo			comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	w.		
Expectation		Status			Comments/Recommendations				
	opportunities: ■ Include instructional leaders, EL and content staff; ■ Address fidelity of implementation; and □ Meets □ Does No								
th	tle III funded districts must address professional development at is supplemental to the LEA's EL Program and that is paid for th Title III funds.		Meet Does I Meet	Not					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

Section C-V: Models of Instruction/Instructional Delivery Methods (eliminate achievement gaps and ensure equitable access:)		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not	Resources	
	Criterion		Document Page(s)		Document Page(s)		eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(c)(v Click her							e.12	ESSA 3116(b)(1); 3115(f)(1) OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2
III(c)(v							e.12	OELA Toolkit: Chapter 2
	District completes Index al	bove; EMC completes Evaluation below.						
	Expectation	State	us	Comments/Recommendations				
l a			ot Meet					
Districts must identify the criteria for assigning EL students to receive services in a particular model(s).		☐ Meets ☐ Does No	ot Meet					



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	/ /

D. Provide opportunities for parents or legal guardians of ELs to participate in the program and receive required communication:		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not Addressed	Resources	
Criterion		Docume	t Page(s)	Document	Page(s)		eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(d)(i) Click here	Notifications as required by federal or state law or regulation, or a policy adopted by the State Board of Education						f.1	NCLB 3116(d)(1); Sec 3302(a)(b)(d) OELA Toolkit 7
III(d)(ii) Click here	Communications provided (insofar as plausible) in a language the parent can understand						f.2	OCR DOJ Parent Factsheet; OELA Toolkit 10
III(d)(iii) Click here	Parent advisory participation						f.3	NRS 388.407(2)(d)
III(d)(iv)	Family engagement						f.4	ESSA 3115(c)(3)(A), and (d)(6) OELA Toolkit: Chapter 10
	District completes Index above; EMC completes Evaluation below.							
	Expectation	S	Status Comments/Recommenda				nendation	ns
	·		s Not Meet					
	stricts provide communications to parents of ELs (insofar as ausible) in a language the parent can understand: Schools/districts must facilitate comprehension of all essential communications for all parents.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet						
	stricts must provide opportunities for EL parents to participate in an visory role.	☐ Meets ☐ Does Not Meet						
4. Di	stricts must provide opportunities for EL family engagement.	☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet						



District:	
Date Submitted:	
Date Reviewed:	

E. Facilitate parents or legal guardians of ELs to enroll their children in other educational programs designed for ELs.		Primary Location		Ancillary Location(s)		Mark if not	Resources	
	Criterion		Page(s)	Document	Page(s)	Addressed	eNOTE	Citation(s)
III(e)(iv) Click here	Provide the parents and legal guardians of pupils who are limited English proficient with information regarding other programs that are designed to improve the language acquisition and academic achievement and proficiency of pupils who are limited English proficient and assist those parents and legal guardians in enrolling those pupils in such programs. (Part I – Information)						g.1	NRS 388.407(2)(e)
III(e)(iv) Click here	Provide the parents and legal guardians of pupils who are limited English proficient with information regarding other programs that are designed to improve the language acquisition and academic achievement and proficiency of pupils who are limited English proficient and assist those parents and legal guardians in enrolling those pupils in such programs. (Part II – Assistance)						g.1	NRS 388.407(2)(e)
	District completes Index al	bove; EMC	comple	tes Evalua	tion belo	w.		
	Expectation	State	us		Comme	ents/Recomm	nendation	ns
 Districts must define a process to provide information regarding other educational programs for ELs designed to: Improve language acquisition; Academic achievement; and English proficiency. 		☐ Meets☐ Does Not Meet						
Districts must define a process to assist parents or legal guardians to enroll their children in these programs.		☐ Meets ☐ Does No	ot Meet					



Appendix B – Reference Glossary

District English Learner (EL) Policy Criteria Recommendations

Approved by Nevada English Mastery Council – December 2, 2014

Adopted by the State Board of Education – December 11, 2014

Nevada Revised Statute *NRS 388.411(1)* states "The English Mastery Council created by NRS 388.409 shall: Make recommendations to the State Board for the adoption of regulations concerning criteria for the policies to teach English to pupils who are limited English proficient that are developed by the board of trustees of each school district pursuant to NRS 388.407.

Accordingly, the English Mastery Council (EMC) respectfully submits the following recommendations for English Learner (EL) Program Policies to be developed by the board of trustees of each school district:

I. Process Criterion: the process for the development of this policy must include evaluation of relevant research and consultation with teachers, school administrators and parents. [ESSA 3116(b)(4)(C)]

Process Criterion: LEA's process for the development of an EL policy must include evaluation of relevant research and consultation with teachers, school administrators and parents. [ESSA 3116(b)(4)(C)] LEAs may either identify their process within the policy, in related materials, or in a separate assurance accompanying the submission of the policy for review. Districts with few EL students may need to document efforts to communicate with parents; it is also appropriate to include parents of former ELs as part of the collaboration.

Research materials should center on best practices for ELs. The following links may be used to access resources to guide program development:

Office of English Language Acquisition (OELA) EL Toolkit:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/index.html

Office of Civil Rights Programs for English Language Learners Home Page: http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/ell/index.html

Click to return

II. Minimum Component Criteria:

a. Vision/Purpose/Philosophy Statement that describes the district's overarching approach to serving its English Learner (EL) students:

The Vision/Purpose/Philosophy Statement should reflect the LEA's overarching approach to serving its English Learner (EL) students in the context of district priorities, goals and plans.

i. Statement addresses alignment and integration of EL services with district priorities, goals and plans

Alignment refers to conceptual agreement and capacity for mutual support. Integration refers to coordinated implementation.

ii. Statement is consistent with state and federal regulations, policies and/or guidance Equitable access to educational opportunity for ELs must be sustained.



Appendix B – Reference Glossary

b. Desired Outcomes/Expectations, including:

Desired outcomes/expectations may include a perspective on staffing and training to address personnel capacity to serve ELs. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 3:

 $\underline{\text{http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap3.pdf}}$

OCR/DOJ Dear Colleague Letter 1-7-15 pp.14-15:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-el-201501.pdf

i. EL student attainment of proficiency in the English Language

Federal and state criteria for evaluation of EL services place a priority on development of Academic English Proficiency.

ii. EL student academic achievement

It is expected that EL students will accomplish grade level academic content achievement concurrent with the development of Academic English Proficiency.

Click to return

- c. Definitions of Terms and Acronyms:
 - i. Consistent with Federal and State regulations, policies and/or guidance

EL Policy and Practice are evolving; LEA's Policy/Plan should clarify acronyms and definitions consistent with current usage.

Click to return

- d. Associated Documents:
 - Corresponding (or Integrated) District EL Service Delivery Plan and/or Operational Guide

The use of associated documents can allow districts to create a global policy that is Board approved and still have flexibility to update and revise required components as identified in associated documents that do not necessarily require Board approval.

If associated documents are not used, all EL policy criteria identified by the Nevada State Board of Education must be addressed in the LEA Board approved EL policy.

Click to return

- III. Minimum Content Criteria (NRS 388.407; relevant DOJ, OCR and Title III Law); the policy must:
 - a. Provide for the identification of pupils who are limited English proficient [NRS 388.407 (2)(a)] including, as defined by federal or state law or regulation, or a policy adopted by the State Board of Education:

Districts are required to ensure that all public schools identify all students who are qualified for protected class status as English Learners [NCLB 9101(25) – now ESSA 8102??]:

http://idea.ed.gov/explore/view/p/%2Croot%2Cdynamic%2COutsideReference%2C11%2C

OELA Toolkit Chapter 1:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap1.pdf



Appendix B – Reference Glossary

i. Home Language Survey

Nevada requires a Home Language Survey (HLS) to be administered upon initial registration to all students. The HLS must consist of three basic questions to determine: i. native or first language of the child; ii. language(s) spoken at home; & iii. language(s) used with peers. If a language other than English is indicated for any of the questions, the child must be screened to determine EL status.

ii. Screening Instrument

If the Home Language Survey indicates a child must be screened for EL status, Nevada requires the WIDA W-APT or WIDA MODELS be administered by qualified personnel. In order to qualify, personnel must certify by completing WIDA training requirements annually. The LEAs must apply state determined EL qualification criteria.

iii. Exit/Reclassification from EL status [ESSA 3113(b)(2)]

EL students reclassify as English proficient and exit the EL program by scoring 5.0 Composite and 5.0 Literacy on the WIDA ACCESS Assessment. Students are reclassified (exited) from active EL status after the school year in which they meet the exit criteria. Most districts reclassify students with a June 30 date. Reclassified students are monitored for two years after exiting the program. LEAs are required to evaluate former ELs' progress "...in meeting challenging State academic contents and student academic achievement standards for each of the two years after..." they exit from EL services. Students are provided assistance as needed.

Click to return

- b. Provide for the periodic reassessment of each pupil who is classified as limited English proficient [NRS 388.407(2)(b)] and the appropriate assessment of ELs (Federal Register Vol. 76, No. 75 4-19-11 and Castañeda v. Pickard) including:
 - i. English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) as defined by federal or state law or regulation, or a policy adopted by the State Board of Education

The Federal Government requires that all EL students (100%) be assessed annually for English language proficiency in Reading, Writing, Listening, and Speaking. Nevada requires that the annual English Language Proficiency Assessment (ELPA) be administered according to Nevada Proficiency Examination Program (NPEP) procedures. Nevada belongs to the WIDA Consortium and administers the WIDA ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 as the annual ELPA. EL students who are also identified as part of the 1% with the most significant cognitive learning disabilities may be assessed annually for language proficiency by taking the WIDA-ALT ACCESS. Each student's IEP team determines which ELP assessment is most appropriate for the child. If necessary, EL students with disabilities may be exempted from taking one or more domains of the annual WIDA-ACCESS based on their disabilities as determined by the student's IEP team.

- ii. Interim Proficiency Assessment/Evaluation:
 - 1. Formal (Interpret results of district/school tools relative to EL students' English proficiency levels)

EL students participate in Formal Assessments (SBAC, EOC, MAPPs, DRA, and etc.). Each EL student must be evaluated for Accommodations/Designated Supports on all high stakes tests (NDE Designated Supports form needs to be updated and archived annually). LEAs evaluate student performance data relative to their English Proficiency levels.

2. Informal (Evaluate EL students' Academic Language Acquisition progress)

LEAs develop capacity of instructional personnel to apply informal evaluation of ELs' language development for instructional purposes.



Appendix B – Reference Glossary

- iii. Classroom assessment of ELs)
 - 1. EL specific practices/accommodations
 - 2. Criteria to apply EL specific practices/accommodations

LEA Policy and Practice should support differentiated classroom instruction designed to provide all EL students access to full content standards. LEAs must guide instructional practice to ensure that ELs of all proficiency levels are expected to learn and demonstrate content mastery. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 4:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap4.pdf

Click to return

- c. Be designed to eliminate any gaps in achievement between those pupils who are limited English proficient and pupils who are proficient in English [NRS 388.407(2)(c)] and ensure equitable access to and participation in program services (Castañeda v. Pickard) including:
 - i. Use of data to inform program design, resource allocation and instructional practice:
 - 1. Federal and State accountability measures for current and former ELs

The state requires LEAs to use data to inform program design, resource allocation and instructional practice for ELs to eliminate performance gaps. Title III funded districts are required to calculate and report school level EL performance on Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs), and to hold each school accountable to meet all AMAO targets. LEAs should have a process to evaluate school level EL performance on NEPF and AMAO measures as part of program planning.

- 2. Program participation:
 - a. Gifted and Talented (ensure access to programs)

LEAs have an obligation to take "affirmative steps" to help English language learners (ELLs) overcome language barriers so they can meaningfully participate in their schools' educational programs." (P.6, OCR Dear Colleague Letter, October 1, 2014):

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-resourcecomp-201410.pdf.

See OELA Toolkit Chapter 4:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap4.pdf

LEAs should establish criteria and processes to allow qualified ELs to participate in GATE, AP, Honours, and other advanced academic opportunity programs.

b. Special Education (protect against over and under identification)

Students who are dual certified for Special Education and for EL qualify for protected class status and have right to full services in both programs. LEAs must identify and serve ELs with disabilities with both the language assistance and disability related services they are entitled to under federal law. LEAs must ensure that EL students are not over or under identified for Special Education services. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 6:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap6.pdf

Click to return

ii. Leadership and Staffing: infrastructure, roles and collaboration

LEAs are required to provide the personnel necessary to effectively implement EL programs, including: teachers qualified to provide EL services, highly qualified core content teachers who are trained to support EL students, and administrators who are trained to evaluate these teachers. The roles and collaborative practices of instructional personnel must be defined as part of the service for ELs. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 3:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap3.pdf



Appendix B – Reference Glossary

iii. Curriculum: Research based selection of curricula that consider language development needs of ELs:

LEAs are required to adopt and implement research-based, high quality, rigorous ELD curriculum, instructional materials and practices that align with and support EL student attainment of the Nevada (WIDA) ELD and Academic Content Standards. See "Framework for Raising Expectations and Instructional Rigor for English Language Learners" (Council of Great City Schools - CGCS):

 $\underline{http://www.cgcs.org/cms/lib/DC00001581/Centricity/Domain/4/Framework\%20for\%20Raising\%20Expectations.pdf}$

- 1. ELD Curriculum: High quality, rigorous instructional materials and practices that align with:
 - a. The Nevada Academic Content Standards
 - b. District identified program Model(s) of Instruction/Instructional Delivery Method(s)

Nevada has adopted the WIDA ELD Standards. LEAs are required to design programs of instructional service for ELs. As needed, LEAs should implement specific activities designed to support the program of instructional service and to eliminate gaps in achievement. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 2:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap2.pdf

- 2. Content Curriculum: High quality, rigorous instructional materials and practices that provide ELs concurrent expectations for and access to:
 - a. Academic English Development
 - b. Grade-level Content Instruction

LEAs should have procedures to appropriately consider language proficiency as a factor when determining grade retention of a child. (See Council of Great City Schools "Framework..." above)

3. Integrate cultural relevance, the building of background knowledge, and the acquisition of academic language into all content area and language development curricula.

LEAs must support and guide Instructional Personnel to develop cultural and linguistic awareness. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 5: http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap5.pdf

Click to return

iv. Professional Development/Learning

LEAs are required to address professional development for English Learner Services. The professional development should be designed to improve the instruction and assessment for ELs by enhancing teacher capacity to understand and use curricula, assessment measures, and instructional strategies supporting academic language development and equitable access to grade level content. The professional development should be of sufficient intensity and duration to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction. Title III Funded districts are required to use some of the allocation for Professional Development.

Click to return

v. Models of Instruction/Instructional Delivery Methods

LEAs are required to provide the basic service for ELs including program(s) of instruction. LEAs must develop criteria for student placement; parents must be notified of their child's primary program model of instruction. The state collects baseline data on student program services to evaluate program types in connection with student performance on English Language Proficiency and high-stakes content assessments. See OELA Toolkit Chapter 2:

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oela/english-learner-toolkit/chap2.pdf



Appendix B - Reference Glossary

- d. Provide opportunities for the parents or legal guardians of pupils who are limited English proficient to participate in the program [NRS 388.407(2)(d)] and ensure proper communication of required information (NCLB 3302) including:
 - i. Notifications as required by federal or state law or regulation, or a policy adopted by the State Board of Education

LEAs are required to notify EL parents annually regarding their child's classification, continuation, and/or reclassification. All required parent notifications must be sent to all parents of ELs in the required timeline and in a language or format that the parents are likely to understand. Title III funded districts must inform parents of all ELs if the <u>district</u> fails to meet AMAO. Letters notifying parents of EL status must be sent within 30 days of the beginning of school or within 10 school days of enrollment for students entering the school after the first month. Letters must include:

 ii. Communications provided (insofar as plausible) in a language the parent can understand

See OCR/DOJ Parent Factsheet:

https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/dcl-factsheet-lep-parents-201501.pdf See OELA Toolkit Chapter 10:

https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/dcl-factsheet-lep-parents-201501.pdf

iii. Parent advisory participation

According to <u>NCLB</u>, [3116(b)(4); Sec 3202(e)] parent participation must include regular meetings with parents "for the purpose of formulating and responding to recommendations" and be "...an effective means of outreach to parents" informing them how they can:

- Be involved in the education of their children; and
- Assist their children to learn English and achieve in core academic subjects
 - iv. Family engagement

LEAs are required to promote parental, family and community engagement to participate in EL programs.

Click to return

e. Provide the parents and legal guardians of pupils who are limited English proficient with information regarding other programs that are designed to improve the language acquisition and academic achievement and proficiency of pupils who are limited English proficient and assist those parents and legal guardians in enrolling those pupils in such programs. [NRS 388.407(2)(e)]

The NDE will facilitate sharing products and procedures between districts as districts respond to this directive. <u>Click to return</u>



Appendix B - Reference Glossary

<u>District English Learner (EL) Policy Criteria Recommendations</u> *NDE Supports for LEA District Policy Implementation*

Nevada Revised Statute NRS 388.411(2) states "The English Mastery Council created by NRS 388.409 shall: Review annually each policy to teach English to pupils who are limited English proficient that is developed by the board of trustees of each school district pursuant to NRS 388.407 and make recommendations for improvement to the State Board and the applicable board of trustees."

Accordingly, the English Mastery Council (EMC) respectfully requests the Nevada State Board of Education to Direct the Nevada Department of Education to:

- 1. Provide Districts with written guidance for the development of District EL Program Policies in accordance with Board of Education approved criteria for these policies.
- 2. Provide the English Mastery Council with a checklist/rubric for the annual evaluation of districts' EL Program Policies.
- 3. Prepare a Nevada State Policy/Implementation Plan for EL Programs to be adopted by the State Board of Education