

Solicitation of Input – 2019 Summer Cycle

Proposal: Sage Collegiate Public Charter School

County: Clark

Proposed Location – 89107, 89108 area bordered by Charleston, Rancho and Rainbow Blvd.
CCSD Schools in target area – elementary and middle schools in location area

First Year K-2 – 168 students

Second Year K-3 – 224 students

School District Input:

1. Please provide any data as to overcrowding in the zip code where the school is proposing to locate.

Zip Code- 89107

O. K. Adcock Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	86.91% of Capacity,
E. W. Griffith Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	89.21% of Capacity,
Vail Pittman Elementary School,	NSPF 3,	108.70% of Capacity,
Red Rock Elementary School,	NSPF 1,	128.05% of Capacity,
Rose Warren Elementary School,	NSPF 3,	131.23% of Capacity,
Frank F. Garside Junior High School,	NSPF 2,	96.97% of Capacity,
Hyde Park Middle School,	NSPF 4,	111.65% of Capacity,

Zip Code- 89108

Berkeley L. Bunker Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	91.26% of Capacity,
Paul E. Culley Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	93.30% of Capacity,
Wing and Lilly Fong Elementary School,	NSPF 3,	93.57% of Capacity,
J. T. McWilliams Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	160.55% of Capacity,
Doris Reed Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	88.18% of Capacity,
Bertha Ronzone Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	99.88% of Capacity,
R. E. Tobler Elementary School,	NSPF 3,	93.96% of Capacity,
Twin Lakes Elementary School,	NSPF 2,	98.75% of Capacity,
Robert O. Gibson Middle School,	NSPF 2,	110.02% of Capacity,

2. Does the School District's Capital Improvement Plan include any plans to add new schools or add additional capacity to existing schools within the community in which the applicant proposes to locate?

As part of the 2015 Capital Improvement Program, CCSD has invested significantly in the 89107 and 89108 to address much-needed capacity and modernization needs.

In 2019, CCSD completed replacement campuses of E. W. Griffith and Twin Lakes Elementary Schools. Building additions were completed in 2018 at Paul Culley, Wing and Lilly Fong, Doris Reed and Bertha Ronzone Elementary Schools, and a building addition is currently under construction at J. T. McWilliams Elementary School, all within the 89107, and 89108 zip codes.

3. **As you know, the SPCSA approved the Academic and Demographic Needs Assessment on July 26, 2019. Our staff and board will be evaluating the degree to which the applicant aligns with the identified needs. Please provide any input as to how this applicant does or does not meet identified needs in the community. If district staff or Board of Trustees has had prior communications with this applicant, please provide any relevant information or details from that communication that might be useful to the SPCSA in making an informed decision regarding the application.**

Demographics - Applicant **does not** propose a school model that includes credible plans to intentionally serve the following student populations, each of which have on aggregate, historically underperformed based on data provided by the Nevada Department of Education: Students qualifying for free or reduced-price lunch, English learners, and students with IEPs. The applicant **has not** demonstrated the capacity in which it previously successfully supported these student populations in achieving academic performance at or above the State average.

Academic Need: Geographies with 1- and 2-Star schools - Applicants meeting this need will seek to provide access to 3-, 4-, and 5-Star schools in zip codes where students are attending a 1- or 2-Star school. The applicant's stated location in the 89106, 89107, 89108, 89119, and 89169, of Las Vegas, may be an adequate area for this type of school.

There has been no communication between the Clark County School District (CCSD) staff with this applicant.

4. **Are there currently any schools providing a similar educational model in the community in which the applicant proposes to locate? If yes, please provide any relevant information on the current school(s) with similar educational models that are available to students in the community.**

The Sage Academy application **did not** specify the type of educational model, and the type of scientifically based research conducted for their proposed school. With that being stated, CCSD cannot reflect upon the similarity or differences in the educational model because of the myriad of areas the school may be potentially located.

5. Is there any other additional information you wish to provide?

Provided below is the rubric the Clark County School District staff used to evaluate the Sage Collegiate Public Charter School application.

Assessment	Criteria	Findings
Demographics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students qualifying for free and reduced lunch; English learners; and Students with an Individualized Education Program (IEP). 	Does Not Meet
Academic Geographies	Geographies with 1- and 2-Star schools.	Meets
Social Emotional	Ability to address the social and emotional needs of stated demographics.	Does Not Meet
Academic Learning Models	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stated Learning Model – Small group learning, two teacher model and blended education. Direct Instruction – Applicant stated actual application of direct instruction. Experiential Learning – Not referenced. Collaborative Projects – Not referenced. Hands-on Learning – Not referenced. 	Does Not Meet
Financial Plan	Financial plan aligns with requirements of application financial criteria.	Meets
Successful History	School has successfully implemented a similar school model elsewhere.	Does Not Meet
Overall Rating		Does Not Meet