## Q Overview of Academic Performance for SPCSA Schools

School Year 2021-2022

October 7, 2022

## Fall Presentations of Academic Performance Data

## OCTOBER

- Overview of NSPF data for SPCSA schools
- Preview of SPCSA Academic Performance Framework


## NOVEMBER

- School-level NSPF results for SPCSA schools
- School-level SPCSA Academic Performance Framework results


## DECEMBER*

- SPCSA enrollment data from 2022-23 validation day
- 4-year graduation rates for SPCSA schools
*if available, may be presented in November


## QUICK REFERENCE

- The Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) is a school rating system required by federal law of all states. In Nevada, the Nevada Department of Education (NDE) annually calculates star ratings for all public schools, which are published in midSeptember.
- An Academic Performance Framework is required by Nevada state law in all charter school contracts. The SPCSA's Academic Performance Framework results are calculated annually by SPCSA staff and are based on the NSPF AND charter schoolspecific measures. This Framework, usually published in early November, provides additional information relevant to authorizing decisions.


## OUTLINE

- Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF)
- SPCSA Schools With 50+ NSPF Score (3-Star Threshold)
- Trends and comparison to state overall
- SPCSA School NSPF Score Changes
- Math/ELA Proficiency
- SPCSA NSPF Proficiency, by Level
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State
- Math/ELA Growth
- SPCSA Median Growth Percentiles
- SPCSA Meeting Growth Targets


## Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) Refresher

- The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each state to use an accountability system to annually rate all public schools within that state.
- The NSPF meets those requirements by issuing an annual index score and corresponding star rating to schools based on performance in various measures.

Elementary

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | 20 |
| Read-by-Grade-3 Measure | 5 |
| Growth Indicator | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 7.5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 7.5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |

Middle

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | 25 |
| Growth Indicator | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |
| Academic Learning Plans Measure | 2 |
| 8th Grade Credit Requirements (NAC 389) Measure | 3 |

High

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Math Proficiency Measure | 10 |
| ELA Proficiency Measure | 10 |
| Science Proficiency Measure | 5 |
| Graduation Rates Indicator | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| 4-year ACGR Measure | 25 |
| 5-year ACGR Measure | 5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| College and Career Readiness Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Post-Secondary Preparation Participation Measure | 10 |
| Post-Secondary Preparation Completion Measure | 10 |
| Advanced/CCR Diploma Measure | 5 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 5 |
| 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade Credit Sufficiency Measure | 5 |

## Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) Refresher

- Due to COVID-19, the US Department of Education waived the requirement for states to issue school ratings in the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years.
- The last official NSPF star ratings are from the 2018-19 school year.
- NSPF data from the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years were unofficial.
- The NDE provided various disclaimers regarding the impacts of COVID-19 on learning and low assessment participation rates. They also did not recommend comparing 2020-21 data to prior years.
- The SPCSA provided additional disclaimers during the October 1, 2021 SPCSA board meeting.
- For the most recent NSPF release (2021-22 school year), the NDE published NSPF scores but did not calculate the corresponding star ratings.


## Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) Refresher

| Star <br> Rating | NSPF Score Range | Descriptor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Stars | Elem.: $\geq 84$ <br> Middle: $\geq 80$ <br> High: $\geq 82$ | Recognizes a superior school that exceeds expectations for all students and subgroups in every indicator category with little or no exception. A fivestar school demonstrates superior academic performance and growth with no opportunity gaps (for high schools, a "superior graduation rate", in lieu of growth). The school does not fail to meet expectations for any group on any indicator. These schools are recognized for distinguished performance. |
| 4 Stars | Elem.: $\geq 67$ and $<84$ Middle: $\geq 70$ and $<80$ High: $\geq 70$ and $<82$ | Recognizes a commendable school that has performed well for all students and subgroups. A four-star school demonstrates satisfactory to strong academic performance for all students. Further, the school is successfully promoting academic progress for all student groups as reflected in closing opportunity gaps (for high schools, a "the school's graduation rate meets expectations", in lieu of academic progress). The school does not fail to meet expectations for any group on any indicator. A school identified for targeted support and improvement is not eligible to be classified as a fourstar school or higher. |
| 3 Stars | Elem.: $\geq 50$ and $<67$ <br> Middle: $\geq 50$ and $<70$ <br> High: $\geq 50$ and $<70$ | Identifies an adequate school that has met the state's standard for performance. The all-students group has met expectations for academic achievement or growth (for high schools, growth is not relevant). Subgroups meet expectations for academic achievement or growth with little exception; however, no group is far below standard. The school must submit an improvement plan that identifies supports tailored to subgroups and indicators that are below standard. A school identified for comprehensive support and improvement is not eligible to be classified as a three-star school or higher. Schools identified for targeted support and improvement are eligible to be classified as three-star schools. |
| 2 Stars | Elem.: $\geq 27$ and $<50$ <br> Middle: $\geq 29$ and $<50$ <br> High: $\geq 27$ and $<50$ | Identifies a school that has partially met the state's standard for performance. Students and subgroups often meet expectations for academic performance or growth (for high schools, growth is not relevant) but may have multiple areas that require improvement. Areas requiring significant improvement are uncommon. The school must submit an improvement plan that identifies supports tailored to subgroups and indicators that are below standard. A two-star school in consecutive years is subject to state intervention. A school identified for targeted support and improvement, or comprehensive support and improvement is eligible to be classified as a two-star school. |
| 1 Star | Elem.: <27 <br> Middle: <29 <br> High: <27 | Identifies a school that has not met the state's standard for performance. Students and subgroups are inconsistent in achieving performance standards. A one-star school has multiple areas that require improvement including an urgent need to address areas that are significantly below standard. The school must submit an improvement plan that identifies supports tailored to subgroups and indicators that are below standard. The school is subject to state interventions. |

## SPCSA NSPF Adjusted Index Scores Trend

## SCORE $\geq 50$ (3-star and higher)

## SCORE < 50 (2-star and lower)



20-21 SY (UNOFFICIAL)


Nevada State Public Charter School Authority

All school levels that met requirements to receive an NSPF rating (rules found here) are included. For the 20-21 school year, NSPF scores were not calculated by the NDE; calculations were performed by the SPCSA. One "school" may contain multiple levels; e.g. Equipo has a middle (Gr6-8) and high school (Gr9-12).

## SPCSA vs. State: Schools With 50+ NSPF Score



## SPCSA vs. Nevada: Schools With 50+ NSPF Score



## SPCSA NSPF Adjusted Index Score Changes: SY 18-19 vs 21-22



## OUTLINE

- Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF)
- SPCSA Schools With 50+ NSPF Score (3-Star Threshold)
- Trends and comparison to state overall
- SPCSA School NSPF Score Changes
- Math/ELA Proficiency
- SPCSA NSPF Proficiency, by Level
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State
- Math/ELA Growth
- SPCSA Median Growth Percentiles
- SPCSA Meeting Growth Targets

Elementary

| INDICATOR/MFASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | 20 |
| Read-by-Grade-3 Measure | 5 |
| Growth Indicator | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 7.5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 7.5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |

Middle

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | 25 |
| Growth InaIcator | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |
| Academic Learning Plans Measure | 2 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Credit Requirements (NAC 389) Measure | 3 |

High

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :---: | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Math Proficiency Measure | 10 |
| ELA Proficiency Measure | 10 |
| Science Proficiency Measure | 5 |
| Graduation Rates Indicator | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| 4-year ACGR Measure | 25 |
| 5-year ACGR Measure | 5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| College and Career Readiness Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Post-Secondary Preparation Participation Measure | 10 |
| Post-Secondary Preparation Completion Measure | 10 |
| Advanced/CCR Diploma Measure | 5 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 5 |
| 9th Grade Credit Sufficiency Measure | 5 |

## SPCSA NSPF Proficiency Trend

In the NSPF, the NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced (Gr3-8), NAA (Gr 3-8, 11), and ACT assessments (Gr 11). Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced) for the assessments corresponding to their grade level.
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Nevada State Public Charter School Authority

The 19-20 school year is omitted as Smarter Balanced assessments were not administered. Assessments for the 20-21 school year were deemed unofficial by the NDE. Results are calculated by school level (elementary, middle, high). One "school" may contain multiple levels; e.g. Equipo has a middle (Gr6-8) and high school (Gr9-12). Calculations use standard NSPF rules which can be found here.

## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency Trend

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

ELA Smarter Balanced (Grades 3-8)

$$
\square \text { 18-19 } \quad 20-21 \square 21-22
$$

Percent Proficient


## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State: SY 21-22

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

ELA Smarter Balanced (Grades 3-8)
$\square$ State SPCSA


## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency Trend

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

```
ELA ACT (Grade 11)
# 18-19 20-21 21-22
```


## Percent

 Proficient

## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency Trend

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Math ACT (Grade 11) } \\
& \square 18-19 \quad 20-21 \quad 21-22
\end{aligned}
$$




## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency Trend



## OUTLINE

- Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF)
- SPCSA Schools With 50+ NSPF Score (3-Star Threshold)
- Trends and comparison to state overall
- SPCSA School NSPF Score Changes
- Math/ELA Proficiency
- SPCSA NSPF Proficiency, by Level
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency
- SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State
- Math/ELA Growth
- SPCSA Median Growth Percentiles
- SPCSA Meeting Growth Targets


## Elementary

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | 20 |
| Read-by-Grade-3 Measure | 5 |
| Growth Indicator | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 7.5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 7.5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |

Middle

| INDICATOR/MEASURES | POINTS |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Achievement Indicator | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Pooled Proficiency Measure | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| Growth Indicator | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Math Median Growth Percentile (MGP) Measure | 10 |
| ELA MGP Measure | 10 |
| Math Adequate Growth Percentile (AGP) Measure | 5 |
| ELA AGP Measure | 5 |
| English Language Proficiency Indicator | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| WIDA AGP Measure | 10 |
| Closing Opportunity Gaps Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Math Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| ELA Closing Opportunity Gaps Measure | 10 |
| Student Engagement Indicator | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Chronic Absenteeism Measure | 10 |
| Academic Learning Plans Measure | 2 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Credit Requirements (NAC 389) Measure | 3 |

## SPCSA NSPF Median Growth Percentiles Trend

The NDE typically uses two consecutive years of a student's Smarter Balanced assessment data to calculate student growth.
The NDE calculates how much growth a student has made-compared to their peers-via a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) from 1 to 99. An SGP of 75 means a student has shown more growth than $75 \%$ of their peers.
A school Median Growth Percentile (MGP) is the median of all student SGPs.


Nevada State Public Charter School Authority

The 19-20 school year is omitted as Smarter Balanced assessments were not administered. Growth for 20-21 used 18-19 and 20-21 data; disclaimers apply due to use of non-consecutive years of data and low assessment participation across Nevada. Growth for 21-22 used 20-21 and 21-22 data. Results are calculated by school level (elementary, middle, high). One "school" may contain multiple levels; e.g. Equipo has a middle (Gr6-8) and high school (Gr9-12). Calculations use standard NSPF rules which can be found here.

## SPCSA NSPF Meeting Growth Targets Trend

The NDE uses Adequate Growth Percentiles (AGP) to determine if a student has made enough growth to be on track to remain or become proficient. A school AGP rate is the percent of students meeting their growth target. If a student meets their target, they are on track to remain or become proficient.


Nevada State Public Charter School Authority

The 19-20 school year is omitted as Smarter Balanced assessments were not administered. Growth for 20-21 used 18-19 and 20-21 data; disclaimers apply due to use of non-consecutive years of data and low assessment participation across Nevada. Growth for $21-22$ used 20-21 and 21-22 data. Results are calculated by school level (elementary, middle, high). One "school" may contain multiple levels; e.g. Equipo has a middle (Gr6-8) and high school (Gr9-12). Calculations use standard NSPF rules which can be found here.

## TAKEAWAYS

## AS DISCUSSED, VARIOUS DISCLAIMERS APPLY TO 21-22 SY DATA

- NSPF Scores
- Almost $80 \%$ of SPCSA schools had a $50+$ NSPF score (3-star NSPF rating threshold).
- This outperformed the state, where $\sim 50 \%$ of schools met that threshold, as well as all Nevada districts except one small district.
- Math/ELA Proficiency
- Proficiency rates increased year over year for elementary and middle schools but decreased for high schools.
- Student group proficiency rates followed a similar trend.
- SPCSA student groups generally outperformed their respective state student groups.
- Math/ELA Growth
- SPCSA median growth decreased year over year.
- The percent of SPCSA students meeting their growth targets increased year over year.


# Thank you! 



charterschools.nv.gov

## APPENDIX

The following slides contain additional academic performance data not shown in the main presentation.

## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency Trend

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

## Math Smarter Balanced (Grades 3-8) <br> $\square$ 18-19 20-21 $\square$ 21-22



## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State: SY 21-22

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

## Math Smarter Balanced (Grades 3-8)

$\square$ State SPCSA


## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State: SY 21-22

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

```
ELA ACT (Grade 11)
\(\square\) State SPCSA
```



## SPCSA Student Group Proficiency vs. State: SY 21-22

The NDE calculates Math and ELA proficiency rates from Smarter Balanced and ACT assessments. Rates shown below are the percent of students that are in the top two achievement levels (Proficient or Advanced).

```
Math ACT (Grade 11)
State SPCSA
```



