

STATE PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORITY



2018 Renewal Report for Doral Academy of Nevada  
*Per NRS 388A.285 and R089-16A*

Issued June 29, 2018

## **CHARTER SCHOOL RENEWAL REPORT CONTENTS**

1. School Overview	3
a. Address	
b. Location	
c. Governing Board Composition	
d. Academic Data Overview	
e. Enrollment History	
2. Summary of Issued Notices and Identified Deficiencies	6
a. Academic	
b. Financial	
3. Summary of the Overall Performance of the Charter School	7
4. Requirements for the Renewal Application	8
5. Criteria to be used to make a Determination regarding Renewal	9

## 1. School Overview

### a. Campus Addresses:

- i. Doral Red Rock Elementary School
  - i. K-5
  - ii. 626 Crossbridge Las Vegas NV 89138
- ii. Doral Red Rock Middle School
  - i. 6-9
  - ii. 610 Crossbridge Las Vegas NV 89138
- iii. Doral Cactus
  - i. K-8
  - ii. 9025 West Cactus Road Las Vegas NV 89118
- iv. Doral Fire Mesa
  - i. K-8
  - ii. 2568 Fire Mesa Street Las Vegas NV 89128
- v. Doral Pebble
  - i. K-8
  - ii. 6435 West Pebble Las Vegas NV 89138
- vi. Doral Saddle
  - i. K-8
  - ii. 9625 West Saddle Ave Las Vegas NV 89147

### b. All Campus Locations:

- i. Clark County

### c. Governing Board Members

- i. President – Boone Cragus
- ii. Vice President – Erin Bedich
- iii. Secretary – John McClain
- iv. Treasurer – Karla Zobrist
- v. Member – Jerod Allen
- vi. Member – Andre Winslow
- vii. Member – Gary Vecchiarelli

*Board Member information based on Epicenter Board Center*

d. Academic Data Overview

*The following data was compiled from the ratings generated by the Nevada State Performance Framework (NSPF). Please see Appendix A for more details.*

<b>Year</b>	<b>NSPF Rating</b>
2014	Elementary: 5 Star Middle School: 3 Star
2015	Elementary: 5 Star (frozen from 2014) Middle School: 3 (frozen from 2014)
2016	No star rating published by State
2017	Elementary: 5 Star Middle School: 5 Star

e. Enrollment History

*The following data was compiled from the Validation Day for the last five school years, or the years within the current charter contract.*

Grade Level	Total Amount Across All Existing Campuses - Number of Students				
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Pre-K	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
K	101	201	299	422	524
1	100	201	300	423	524
2	99	200	304	437	539
3	103	204	312	441	544
4	104	204	335	475	584
5	103	208	336	473	588
6	88	237	355	534	668
7	54	140	270	457	594
8	N/A	60	148	290	433
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	101	110
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	65
11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>1655</b>	<b>2659</b>	<b>4053</b>	<b>5173</b>

**2. Summary of Issued Notices and Identified Deficiencies**

**a. Academic:**

*The Authority Board has not issued any Academic Notices to Doral Academy of Nevada.*

**b. Financial:**

*The Authority Board has not issued any following Financial Notices to Doral Academy of Nevada.*

### **3. Summary of the Overall Performance of Doral Academy of Nevada**

Doral Academy of Nevada is currently rated as a 5-star elementary school and a 5-star middle school according to the 2016 – 2017 NSPF. While Authority staff anticipates that there will be NSPF ratings for high schools for the 2017 – 2018 school year, no current ratings exist. It is noteworthy that the school has not earned less than a 3-star rating for the elementary or middle school since the school's inception.

With regard to the elementary school, Authority staff is pleased with the high performance of students across all metrics within the NSPF. As noted in NSPF guidance document, a 5-star school is superior and exceeds expectations for all students and subgroups on every indicator category with little or no exception. A five-star school demonstrates superior academic performance and growth with no opportunity gaps. The school does not fail to meet expectations for any group on any indicator. These schools are recognized for distinguished performance. The school consistently ranks above almost all schools within the SPCSA portfolio in most achievement and growth measures. See Appendix A for more details.

The middle school, by virtue of its 5-star rating, also fares very well compared to performance expectations and standards. The school has achievement and growth scores that are almost always above state performance expectations, and this level of performance is almost always above the SPCSA average proficiency rate within the portfolio in both math and ELA. See Appendix A for more details.

While there are no NSPF ratings for the current school year, there was data provided by Nevada Department of Education in December 2017. The high school NSPF rating will be available on September 2018 with new indicators (such as ACT scores, College and Career Readiness -AP, IB, Advance Diploma etc.).

With regards to the financial performance of the school, SPCSA staff has no concerns about the overall health and viability of the school.

The organizational health and performance of the school has been strong over the current charter term. More information on this can be found in Appendix B.

#### **4. Requirements for the Renewal Application**

Applicants for renewal will receive an application template to populate and return to Authority staff between October 1 – October 15, 2018. This template will be provided to schools in early to mid-August. **Schools are required to submit a notice of intent to submit an application for renewal not less than 45 days prior to submitting the renewal application.**

Schools which are contemplating material amendments, e.g. changes to the mission statement, grade levels served, enrollment, facilities expansion, academic program, instructional delivery, management agreement, etc. will be permitted to submit such amendment requests in the event that the school is renewed based on past performance. Schools are permitted to draft such amendment requests during the renewal process for filing immediately following the renewal decision but the SPCSA Board will not give weight to such materials or testimony related to any contemplated changes during the renewal process. The inclusion of amendment materials will result in the return of the renewal application and a request for resubmission of a compliant and complete application from SPCSA staff.

It is the responsibility of the school to ensure that the content is accurate and reflects information provided by NDE and the SPCSA. Any discrepancies between the data submitted and data previously provided by NDE or the SPCSA will result in a request for resubmission of a compliant and complete application from SPCSA staff.

Schools are required to submit the agenda and draft minutes for the meeting where the governing body voted to approve the submission of the renewal application into the appropriate areas in Epicenter prior to filing the renewal application. Failure to submit the agenda and draft minutes into the appropriate areas in Epicenter prior to filing the renewal application will result in the return of the renewal application and a request for resubmission of a compliant and complete application from SPCSA staff. The inclusion of the agenda and draft minutes with the renewal application will result in the return of the renewal application and a request for resubmission of a compliant and complete application from SPCSA staff.



## **5. Criteria to be used for Making a Renewal Decision**

As stated on the previous page, renewal decisions for schools operating under written charters are based on historic performance data as evidenced by both the Nevada State Performance Framework as well as the SPCSA Performance Framework. Historical anecdotes or unsolicited data, e.g. teacher turnover, leadership changes, or past programmatic adjustments, will not be considered by the Authority in making renewal decisions. Additionally, renewal decisions will be based on the overall financial and organizational health of the public charter school. Evidence from both the financial framework and financial audits will be used to assess the overall financial health of a school. The Epicenter platform will be used to inform the assessment of the organizational health of a school, and to help determine whether or not the school is compliant under local, state and federal law.

The Board will not give weight to materials or testimony related to such topics during the renewal process. The inclusion of unsolicited data, supplementary narratives, letters of support, or other unsolicited materials will result in the return of the renewal application and a request for resubmission of a compliant and complete application from SPCSA staff.